ARB 1748/2010-P

CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the Property assessment as provided by the Municipal Government Act, Chapter M-26, Section 460(4).

between:

Altus Group Ltd, COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

F. Wesseling, PRESIDING OFFICER I. Zacharopoulos, MEMBER A. Wong, MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of Property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2010 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER: 201202777

LOCATION ADDRESS: 1112-9 Street SW

HEARING NUMBER: 59263

ASSESSMENT: \$4,420,000.00

Page 2 of 3

ARB 1748/2010-P

This complaint was heard on 1 st day of October, 2010 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 4, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 11.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

B. Neeson

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

D. Lidgren

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

Property Description: Subject property is located in the Beltline on the North East corner of 9th Street and 12 Ave SW. The property contains .45 acres and has a designation of Centre City mixed Use district under the City of Calgary land Use Bylaw. Currently the parcel is vacant and its intended

Issues: The Complainant raised the following matters in Section 4 of the Assessment Complaint form: Assessment amount and Assessment class.

A large number of issues were outlined in Section 5 of the complaint form. Presentations of the Assessment overstated in relation to comparable properties.

Complainant's Requested Value: \$3,526,000.00

Board's Decision in Respect of Each Matter or Issue:

Complainant's position: The Complainant determined that the assessed base rate of \$ 215.00 per sq. ft. plus a 5 % corner lot influence adjustment is too aggressive based on market evidence and that a rate of \$180.00 per square foot is more appropriate. In support of that position the Complainant presented land sales information on 9 properties. These properties were all located in the beltline and downtown. The sales data showed a range of \$118-\$264 per square foot with a

Respondent's Position: The Respondent reviewed how the assessment was arrived at and in support of its position provided equity and sales information. The Respondent's data indicated that the subject property was assessed less than the medium of \$233.00 per square foot. In addition an analysis was provided of the Complainant sales information.

Board's Decision: Board's Decision: Upon reviewing the verbal and written evidence provided by the parties, the Board considers that the Complainant failed to demonstrate that the assessment The Board confirms the assessment at \$ 4,420,000.00.

Page 3 of 3

ARB 1748/2010-P

<u>Reasons:</u> The Board determined that the sales information presented by the Respondent support the 2010 assessment.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 4 DAY OF November 2010. F. Wesseling **Presiding Officer**

The Board was presented with the following submissions:

Complainant: C1 Evidence Submission of the Complainant to the Assessment Review Board prepared by Altus Group Limited.

Respondent: R1 Composite Assessment Review Board Hearing. Assessment Brief.

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.